Exhibit 3

OCT-08-2007(MON) 14:09 ONE LEGAL, INC.

(FAX)1+51U+873+0984 P.004/018

2	FOR THE CO SONIA RENAZCO, individual, on behalf ) of herself and all others similarly situated, )  Plaintiffs, )  V. )  NATIONAL CITY BANK, and DOES 1 ) through 100, )  Defendants. )	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:  1. Restitution of Overtime Wages (B&P 17200)  2. Recovery of Overtime Wages (Labor Code 1194).  3. Waiting Time Penalties (Labor Code 203)  4. Rest and Meal Breaks (Labor Code 226.7)  5. Wage Penalties (Labor Code 210 and 226.3)  6. Declaratory Relief  CO (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself
27 28	<u>Gener</u> COMPLAINT	al Allegations

- Plaintiff SONIA RENAZCO is an individual residing in the State of California.
- Defendant NATIONAL CITY BANK, is a mortgage lender who does business in California.
- 3. Plaintiff is unaware of the names and capacities of those defendants sued as DOES 1 through 50 but will amend this complaint when that information becomes known. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the defendants, including the DOE defendants, was the officer, director, employee, agent, representative, alter ego or co-conspirator of each of the other defendants, and in engaging in the conduct alleged herein, was acting in the course and scope of, and in furtherance of, such relationship. Unless otherwise specified, plaintiff will refer to all defendants collectively as "defendant" and each allegation pertains to each defendant.

#### CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 4. <u>Class Definition</u>: Plaintiff worked for defendant as an underwriter, responsible for reviewing home mortgage loan applications from consumers. Plaintiff seeks to bring this lawsuit as a class action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382. The class that plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows: "All individuals who were employed by defendant in the position of underwriter, senior underwriter, underwriter trainee, and/or any similar position that is responsible for reviewing home mortgages to consumers ('Covered Position'), within the State of California during the applicable limitations period."
- A. "Subclass A" consists of all class members who, while working in a Covered Position in California during the applicable limitations period, did not receive a "salary" of at least \$455 per week (or \$155 per week before August 23, 2004). "Salary" means a predetermined amount of wages each pay period without reduction due to the quantity or quality of the employee's work. A "commission" is not a salary.

5 6

> 8 9

7

11 12

10

14 15

13

17

18

16

19 20

22 23

21

24 25

26 27

28

"Subclass B" consists of all class members who, while working in a B. Covered Position in California during the applicable limitations period, received a salary of less than twice the California minimum wage and either (1) had total earnings that were less than 1.5 times the California minimum wage, or (2) less than half of their earnings represented commissions.

- "Subclass C" consists of all class members who, while working in a C. Covered Position in California during the applicable limitations period, were paid a salary of at least twice the minimum wage.
- "Subclass D" consists of all members of Subclass A, Subclass B and/or D. Subclass C who, as of the date that this lawsuit was filed, were no longer employed by defendant.
- Ascertainable Class. The proposed class and each subclass are ascertainable in 5. that their members can be identified and located using information contained in defendant's payroll and personnel records.
- Common Questions of Fact and Law. This lawsuit is suitable for class treatment 6. because common questions of fact and law predominate over individual issues. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) whether the class members qualify for exempt status under the administrative exemption; (2) whether defendant's business qualifies as a "retail or service establishment"; (3) the extent to which defendant analyzed the duties and responsibilities of the class members before classifying them as exempt; (4) the number of hours per week and per day class members are expected to work; (5) defendant's expectations as to the duties and responsibilities of the class members, and whether these expectations are reasonable under the circumstances; (6) whether the various tasks performed by the class members qualify as exempt or non-exempt tasks; and (7) whether defendant's withholding of overtime pay and deduction from wages was willful under the meaning of Labor Code section 203.

ONE LEGAL, INC.

(FAX)1+51u+873+0984

P. 007/018

- 7. Numerosity. The plaintiff class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all members is impractical under the circumstances of this case. While the exact number of class members is unknown to plaintiff at this time, plaintiff is informed and believes that the entire class consists of at least 100 members, and that each subclass is so numerous that joinder of the members would be impracticable.
- 8. Typicality and Adequacy. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff suffered an injury similar to that of the other class members as a result of defendant's common practices regarding the payment of wages. In addition, plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the interests of the other class members.
- efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual joinder of all members of the class is impractical. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would require. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by each individual member of the class may be relatively small, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The cost to the court system of adjudication of such individualized litigation would be substantial. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. Finally, the alternative of filing a claim with the California Labor Commissioner is not superior, given the lack of discovery in such proceedings, the availability of fewer remedies, and the fact that the losing party has the right to a trial de novo in the Superior Court.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Restitution of Overtime Wages - On Behalf of Subclass A)

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 9.
- 11. The Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. section 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), states that an employee must be paid overtime, equal to 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week. This court has concurrent jurisdiction over claims involving the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section 216(b).
- 12. Subclass A members regularly work more than 40 hours per week, but are not paid overtime. Subclass A members are not "exempt" under the FLSA, because *inter alia*, they are not paid a salary of at least \$455 per week (or \$155 per week prior to August 23, 2004), and defendant's business does not qualify as a "retail or service establishment" under 29 U.S.C. section 207(I) and 29 C.F.R. sections 779.316 and 779.317.
- 13. Defendant has committed an act of unfair competition by not paying the required overtime pay to members of Subclass A.
- 14. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiff requests an order requiring defendant to make restitution of all overtime wages due the members of Subclass A, in an amount to be proved at trial.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Restitution of Overtime Wages - On Behalf of Subclass B)

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14.
- 16. Wage Order 4-2001, 8 C.C.R. section 11040, which applies to defendant's business, states that an employee must be paid overtime, equal to 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week or 8 per day.

28

	17. Subclass B members regularly work more than 40 hours per week and/or 8 hours	
	per day, but are not paid overtime. Subclass B members are not exempt because, inter alia, they	
3	are not paid a monthly salary equivalent to at least twice the minimum wage and they do not	
1	qualify for the commissioned sales exemption under Wage Order 4-2001, section 3(D).	
5	18. Defendant has committed an act of unfair competition by not paying the required	
7	overtime pay to the members of Subclass B.	
8	19. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiff requests an order requiring	
9	defendant to make restitution of all overtime wages due the members of Subclass B, in an	
0	No.	
lI	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
12	(Labor Code section 1194 - On Behalf of Subclass B)	
13 14	the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 19.	
1.	and the Subclass B	
1	members are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime compensation, plus attorneys' fees and	
1		
1	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
	0 (Restitution of Overtime Wages - On Behalf of Subclass C)	
	22. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21.	
	22 23. Subclass C members regularly work more than 40 hours per week and/or 8 hours	
2	per day, but are not paid overtime. Subclass C members are not exempt because, inter alia, they	
2	are production workers, they do not spend the majority of their time on exempt tasks, and they do	
	not customarily and regularly exercise discretion and independent judgment in matters of	
	40 H = 1	

28

consequence to defendant's business.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

i5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

24.	Desendant has committed an act of unfair competition by not paying the required	
overtime pay	to the members of Subclass C.	
25.	Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiff requests an order requiring	
defendant to	make restitution of all overtime wages due the members of Subclass C, in an	
amount to be proved at trial.		

## FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Labor Code section 1194 - On Behalf of Subclass C)

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 25.
- 27. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194, plaintiff and the Subclass C members are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime compensation, plus attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount to be proved at trial.

### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Labor Code Section 203 - Waiting Time Penalties - By and on Behalf of Subclass D)

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27.
- Defendant willfully and intentionally failed to pay plaintiff and the other Subclass D members all of the wages that they were due by the deadlines imposed under Labor Code sections 201 and 202. Accordingly, plaintiff and the Subclass D members are entitled to waiting time penalties of up to 30 days' pay, in an amount to be proved at trial.

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Labor Code § 2699(a) - PAGA - and Labor Code § 226.7 - Rest and Meal Breaks - By and On Behalf of Subclasses A, B and C)

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 29.
- 31. California Labor Code section 2699(a), also known as the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, states:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(FAX)1+510+873+0984

P. 011/018

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies, or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or former employees. 3

- Plaintiff is an "aggrieved employee" as that term is defined in the Labor Code 32. Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 because she is a person who was employed by the alleged violator and against whom one or more of the alleged violations was committed.
- Plaintiff therefore brings this action on behalf of herself and all other current 33. and former employees.
- Plaintiff has complied with the notice provisions of Labor Code section 34. 2699.3.
- Defendant failed to provide the members of subclasses A, B and C with all of 35. their required rest and meal breaks. As a result, under Labor Code section 226.7, plaintiff and the members of Subclasses A, B and C are entitled to one additional hour's pay of each day that a rest or meal break was missed, in an amount to be proved at trial.

# EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Labor Code § 2699(a) - PAGA - and Labor Code §§ 204 and 210 - Accurate Wages - By and On Behalf of Subclasses A, B and C)

- Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 35. 36.
- California Labor Code section 2699(a), also known as the Labor Code Private 37. Attorneys General Act of 2004, states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies, or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or former employees.

Plaintiff is an "aggrieved employee" as that term is defined in the Labor Code 38. Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 because she is a person who was employed by the alleged

violator and against whom one or more of the alleged violations was committed. 1 Plaintiff therefore brings this action on behalf of herself and all other current and 2 39. 3 former employees. Plaintiff has complied with the notice provisions of Labor Code section 2699.3. 4 40. Labor Code sections 204 and 210 require accurate payment of wages. 5 41. By the conduct alleged above, Defendant has failed to accurately pay wages, and 6 42. therefore the penalty provisions of Labor Code § 210 apply. 7 The civil penalties provided for in these sections are in addition to any other civil 8 43. 9 or criminal penalty provided by law. Therefore, Plaintiff demands penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys 10 44. 11 General Act of 2004 in the amounts specified in Labor Code § 210. Furthermore, Plaintiff demands penalties under §2699(f) for violations of the 12 45. 13 Labor Code for which there are no prescribed civil penalties. 14 NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION 15 (Labor Code § 2699(a) - PAGA - and Labor Code §§ 226 and 226.3 - Accurate Pay Stubs - By 16 and On Behalf of Subclasses A, B and C) 17 Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 45. 18 46. California Labor Code section 2699(a), also known as the Labor Code Private 19 47. Attorneys General Act of 2004, states: 20 21 Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any provision of this code that provides for a civil penalty to be assessed and collected by the Labor and Workforce 22 Development Agency or any of its departments, divisions, commissions, boards, agencies, or employees, for a violation of this code, may, as an alternative, be 23 recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself or herself and other current or former employees. 24 Plaintiff is an "aggrieved employee" as that term is defined in the Labor Code 25

Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 because she is a person who was employed by the alleged

violator and against whom one or more of the alleged violations was committed.

26

27

28

48.

P. 013/018

1	49. Plaintiff therefore brings this action on behalf of herself and all other current and
2	former employees.
3	50. Plaintiff has complied with the notice provisions of Labor Code section 2099.3.
4	Labor Code sections 226 and 226.3 require accurate pay stubs.
5	52. By the conduct alleged above, Defendant has failed to provide accurate pay
6	multiple and therefore the penalty provisions of Labor Code § 226.3 apply.
7	53. The civil penalties provided for in these sections are in addition to any other civil
8	or criminal penalty provided by law.
9	54. Therefore, Plaintiff demands penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys
10	Congress Act of 2004 in the amounts specified in Labor Code § 226.3.
11	55. Furthermore, Plaintiff demands penalties under §2699(f) for violations of the
12	N Control of the Cont
13	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
14	(Declaratory Renor 2)
15	56. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 55.
10	6 An actual controversy has arisen and continues to exist between plaintiff and
1	7
1	establishment' under the FLSA. Plaintiff contends that defendant's business is not a 'retail or
1	empire establishment." whereas defendant contends that it is
2	A judicial declaration is necessary and proper at this time to resolve this
2	21 actual controversy between plaintiff and descendant and to establish the respective rights and
2	
2	duties of the parties.  PRAYER
2	WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for a judgment against each defendant, jointly and
,	25
	severally, as follows:  1. For compensatory damages according to proof;
	2. For declaratory judgment as prayed for in the complaint;

OCT-08-2007(MON) 14:10 ONE LEGAL, INC.

(FAX)1+5+U+873+0984 P. 014/018

2007(	MON	) 14:10 ONE	E LEGHL, INC.	`	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•					
1		3.	For an order requiring	defendant to m	ake restitution of all wages, including
2	0,	vertime wages, t	that were illegally with	eld;	
3		4.	For interest according		
4	.	5.	For penalties as alleg	ed herein;	
5		6.	For reasonable attorn		
6	5	7.	For such other relief	hat the Court d	eems just and proper.
-	7				
{	8   1	Dated: Septembe	er 28, 2007		HOFFMAN & LAZEAR
	9				•
1					12 de 11
1	- 1			Ву:	H TIM HOPEMAN
	2				Attorneys for Plaintiff
	3				
	4				
	15				
	16				
	17 18				
	19				
	20	,			
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
		13			

Exhibit 4

(FAX)1+51u+873+0984

P. 015/018

H. Tim Hoffman, SBN 49141 1 Arthur W. Lazcar, SBN 83603 **HOFFMAN & LAZEAR** 2 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550 3 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 763-5700 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SONOMA 9 SONIA RENAZCO, individual, on behalf of 10 **CASE NO. SCV241187** herself and all others similarly situated, 11 Plaintiffs. 12 ٧. NATIONAL CITY MORTGAGE CO., a PROOF OF SERVICE 13 division of NATIONAL CITY CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 100, 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 18 I, Shola Ogunlana, declare: 19 I am, and was at the time of the service mentioned in this declaration, over the age of 18 years 20 and am not a party to this cause. My business address is HOFFMAN & LAZEAR, 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550, Oakland, California 94612, Alameda County, California. On October 1, 2007, 21 I served the following Documents: 22 AMENDED SUMMONS 23 24 BY MAIL: By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Oakland, California addressed as set 25 forth below. 26 FEDERAL EXPRESS: By placing the documents(s) listed above in FEDERAL EXPRESS drop box facility located closest to my office in Oakland, California, in a 27 sealed FEDERAL EXPRESS envelope, for 5:30 p.m. pickup and overnight delivery. The FEDERAL EXPRESS request form was completed in a manner so that postage was 28

Case 3:07-cv-05947-PJH Document 5-4 Filed 11/28/2007 Page 15 of 22

OCT-08-2007(MON) 14:11 ONE LEGAL, INC.

24

25

26

27

28

(FAX)1+310+873+0984

P. 016/018

prepaid, and contained instructions requesting delivery by not later than 5:00 pm the 1 following business day, to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 2 EMAIL: By transmitting via email based on a court order or an agreement of the parties 3 to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the c-mail addresses listed below. 4 5 BY HAND DELIVERY: By hand delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(cs) set forth below. б Donna M. Mezias 7 JONES DAY 555 California Street 8 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 10 foregoing is true and correct. 11 Dated: October 1, 2007 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

Exhibit 5

# AMENDED SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):
NATIONAL CITY BANK, and DOES 1 through 100.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): SONIA RENAZCO, individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ENDORSED FILED OCT 0 3 2007

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SONOMA

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede parder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY O	F SONOMA	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):	SCV241187
600 Administration Drive, Santa Rosa, CA 95403			
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, o (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del d	r plaintiff without an attor	ney, is:	
HOFFMAN & LAZEAR	omendente, o der dentar	Phone No.	: (510) 763-5700
180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550, Oakland, CA 94612 DATE: OCT 0 3 2007 DENISE L. GORDON	Clerk, by	JENNIFER ELLI	: (510) 835-1311 IS Deputy
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Sum	(Secretario)		(Adjunto)
n ara procesa de entreya de esta citation use el formulario Proof of (	Service of Summons (P)	OS-010)).	
NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERV  1. as an individual defendant 2. as the person sued under	t.	pecify):	
3. on behalf of (specify):			
	oration) inct corporation) [ ociation or partnership) [	CCP 416.60 (n CCP 416.70 (c CCP 416.90 (a	
other (specify):  4. X by personal delivery on (da	nte): September 1, 20	08	

Document 5-4

Filed 11/28/2007

Page 18 of 22

Case 3:07-cv-05947-PJH

26

27

28



### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA Civil Division

1	600 Administration Drive, Room 107-J, Santa Rosa, California 95403 (707) 521-6500 http://www.SonomaSuperiorCourt.com
DA	
TO.	: Morgan Marck Case Name: Renazco V
_V	National City Mort
You	r Proof(s) of Service of Process is/are being returned because:
	Pursuant to local rules, name usage within a case must be consistent. Please
<b>=</b> 1	refer to the initial pleading for the correct and complete name of the defendant
	There is no defendant by this name in this action. If you intend to serve this person as a Doe, you must specifically state "served as Doe #" in item 1.
	Service cannot be accomplished until the document is actually filed. The
	document you served is not yet filed with this court Am ended Solmmans
	In order to affect proper service, you must serve the complaint/petition and ADR packet, in addition to the Summons.
	One proof of service must be submitted for EACH defendant, separately. You
	cannot prove service upon multiple defendants with one form
ijen	Personal service must be served on the named defendant or a designated agent for service if the defendant is a business.
	Substitute Service must give identifying information regarding the person
	served, specifically a name - or if a name was unobtainable a full description.
	Only one form of service can be used.  The date, time and place of service must be completed.
	You must have a court order to serve by posting or publication.
	Service must be accomplished by someone over the age of 18 and not a party to
	the action. The person who has signed this document appears to be related to this case.
	Information regarding the server must be completed.
	The declaration under penalty of perjury must be dated.
	This action must be submitted on the adopted Judicial Council Form $PS-010$
	You must use the current version of this form, the form you submitted is obsolete.
PC .	Other: No proof of service was filed for the
_0	initial complaint. We cannot accept prof
_ork	Other: No proof of Service was filed for the Complaint, we cannot accept proof  Service - by mail - for the Amended Complaint
<del></del>	1 Trickson Complain
	he NEW SUMMONS must state 'Amended'

Exhibit 6

	POS-01
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):  MORGAN M. MACK (SB #212659)  HOFFMAN & LAZEAR  180 Grand Avenue, Suite 1550  Oakland, CA 94612	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: 510-763-5700 FAX NO. (Optional): 5 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): mmm@hoffmanandlazear.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, SONIA RENAZCO	510-835-1311
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA STREET ADDRESS: 600 Administration Drive MAILING ADDRESS: 600 Administration Drive CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Rosa, CA 95403 BRANCH NAME: Civil Branch Room 107J	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: SONIA RENAZCO	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: NATIONAL CITY BANK	
NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEI	IPT—CIVIL CASE NUMBER: SCV241187
TO (insert name of party being served): DONNA MEZIAS ON B	BEHALF OF NATIONAL CITY BANK
NOTIC	
The summons and other documents identified below are being serv Procedure. Your failure to complete this form and return it within 20 (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for th on you in any other manner permitted by law.	days from the date of mailing shown holow may anti-
If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporate form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a personality. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally summons. If you return this form to the sender, service of a summon acknowledgment of receipt below.	on authorized to receive service of process on behalf of such
Date of mailing: OCTOBER 17, 2007	, 1/1//
MORGAN M. MACK	) Allynh
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF SENDER-MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)
ACKNOWLEDGMEN	T OF RECEIPT
This acknowledges receipt of (to be completed by sender before at 1. A copy of the summons and of the complaint.	mailing):
2. Other (specify):	
AMENDED SUMMONS AND AMENDED C	COMPLAINT
(To be completed by recipient):	
Date this form is signed: November 6, 2007	Counsel for National City Bar
Donna Mezias for National City Bank	I thra M mephes
(TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED)	(SIGNATURE OF PERSON ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF ACKNOWLEDGMENT IS MADE ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY)

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California POS-015 [Rev. January 1, 2005]

NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT — CIVIL

Page 1 of 1 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 415.30, 417.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com